



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/675,033	09/28/2000	Blair B.A. Birmingham	ATI-000090	7656

34456 7590 10/18/2006

LARSON NEWMAN ABEL POLANSKY & WHITE, LLP
5914 WEST COURTYARD DRIVE
SUITE 200
AUSTIN, TX 78730

EXAMINER

PATEL, HARESH N

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2154

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notice of Not Fully Responsive Reply for Applications Under Accelerated Examination	Application No. 09/675,033	Applicant(s) BIRMINGHAM, BLAIR B.A.	
	Examiner Haresh Patel	Art Unit 2154	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

This application has been granted special status under the accelerated examination program.

The reply filed 24 July 2006 is not fully responsive to the prior **non-final Office action** because of the following reason(s):

1. ☐ The reply includes an amendment that attempts to add claims which would result in more than three (3) independent claims, or more than twenty (20) total claims.
2. ☐ The reply includes an amendment that attempts to present claims not encompassed by the preexamination search.
3. ☐ The reply includes an amendment that attempts to present claims not encompassed by the accelerated examination support document and an updated accelerated examination support document was not submitted with the amendment.
4. ☐ The reply includes an amendment that attempts to present claims that are directed to a nonelected invention or an invention other than previously claimed in the application.
5. ☐ The reply includes arguments or other items that are not limited to the rejections, objections, and requirements made, such as _____ on page _____ of the reply.
6. ☒ Other (including any explanation in support of the above items): See Continuation Sheet.

The reply has not been entered. Since the above-identified reply appears to be *bona fide*, applicant is give a time period of **ONE (1) MONTH** or **THIRTY (30) DAYS** from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid **ABANDONMENT**. **NO EXTENSIONS OF TIME** under 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be permitted.

Continuation of 6. Other:

The reply filed on 24 July 2006, remarks pages 2-9, does not contain any response regarding the double patenting rejection of the claims 1-46 that are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory double patenting over claims 1-64 of copending Application No. 10/869,165 of the office action dated 3/24/2006.

Also, to further expedite the prosecution of this case,

regarding the amendment filed 7/24/2006, it is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows:

- a. "Brief Description of the drawings for new figures 4-12";
- b. "Detailed Description of the Figures for new figures 4-12";
- c. New Figures 4-12

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter, to avoid abandonment of this application, in the reply to this office action.

Note: The new figures do not refer and contain content from the original specification. The rejection of figures 1-3 of the previous office action has been withdrawn even though it required referring to the content of the original specification. Nevertheless, to not introduce new matter, the rejection of the previous office action is withdrawn.

Hareesh Patel

Att Unit: 2154